

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-1003V

Filed: March 4, 2019

UNPUBLISHED

ROMANA ESTES,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorneys' Fees and Costs

*Ronald Craig Homer, Conway, Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for petitioner.*

*Jennifer Leigh Reynaud, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On July 25, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") as a result of a flu vaccine received on November 6, 2015. Petition at 1. On November 20, 2018, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 36.

---

<sup>1</sup> The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website.

**This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On January 29, 2019, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. ECF No. 41. Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$23,840.80 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,690.59. *Id.* at 1-2. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 42. Thus, the total amount requested is \$25,531.39.

On February 12, 2019, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. ECF No. 43. Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the Chief Special Master exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 3.

Petitioner has filed no reply.

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request and finds a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate for the reasons listed below.

#### **I. Legal Standard**

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. *See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. *See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engaged in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 482, 484 (1991). She "should present adequate proof [of the attorneys' fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Id.* at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive,

redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission.” *Hensley*, 461 U.S., at 434.

## II. Attorney Fees

### A. Hourly Rates

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney Joseph Pepper at a rate of \$331 per hour for time billed in 2018. ECF No. 41 at 21. Mr. Pepper was previously awarded the rate of \$305 for time billed in 2018. See *Chandler v. Sec’y of Health and Human Servs.*, No. 16-0322V, 2018 WL 3031053, (Fed. Cl. Sp. Mstr. April 4, 2018). The undersigned reduces the requested 2018 rate for Mr. Pepper to the previously awarded rate of \$305 per hour. This results in a reduction of **\$2.60**.

### B. Administrative Time

Upon review of the billing records submitted, it appears that a number of entries are for tasks considered clerical or administrative. In the Vaccine Program, secretarial work “should be considered as normal overhead office costs included within the attorneys’ fee rates.” *Rochester v. U.S.*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989); *Dingle v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 08-579V, 2014 WL 630473, at \*4 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 24, 2014). “[B]illing for clerical and other secretarial work is not permitted in the Vaccine Program.” *Mostovoy*, 2016 WL 720969, at \*5 (citing *Rochester*, 18 Cl. Ct. at 387). A total of 3.9 hours was billed by paralegals on tasks considered administrative including, receiving documents, forwarding correspondence, reviewing and organizing the client file, and mailing documents. Examples of these entries include:<sup>3</sup>

- March 2, 2017 (1.30 hrs) “review, organize and prep medical records, Ex 1 to 9 for summarization and electronic filing”
- May 22, 2017 (0.60 hrs) “prep new records/exhibits 10 – 12 for summarization and electronic filing”
- July 25, 2017 (0.40 hrs) “petition for compensation; filed electronically; mailed service copies to HHS; copy saved to case file with receipt for filing fee”
- March 23, 2018 (0.50 hrs) “case material on a flash drive which will be sent to the client; draft letter to accompany case material; prepare cert mail to client”
- November 15, 2018 (0.20 hrs) “signed stipulation being sent to DOJ, case file updated, fed ex package prepared with stipulation”

ECF No. 41 at 7, 9, 11, 13 and 18.

---

<sup>3</sup> These are merely examples and not an exhaustive list.

The undersigned reduces the request for attorney fees by **\$979.80**, the total amount of the entries considered administrative.

### **III. Attorney Costs**

Petitioner requests compensation of attorney costs in the amount of \$1,690.59. This total includes costs for travel, medical records, postage and copies. The undersigned finds the overall amount of costs reasonable and awards the amount requested in full.

### **IV. Conclusion**

Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS IN PART** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$24,548.99<sup>4</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Ronald Craig Homer.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.